IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHARLOTTE DIVISION

ERIC PLANT, INDIVIDUALLY, AND	§	
ERIC PLANT, LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO
	§	
RAPID EPS LIMITED, DAVID BRINE,	§	
AND MICHAEL H. WHITTAKER,	§	
	§	
	§	
Defendants,	§	

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

RAPID EPS Limited ("RAPID EPS"), David Brine ("Mr. Brine") and Michael H. Whittaker ("Mr. Whittaker") (together, "Defendants"), Defendants in Cause No. 18-CVS-18637 pending in the Superior Court Division of the General Court of Justice of Mecklenburg County, North Carolina, styled *Eric Plant, Individually, and Eric Plant, LLC v. Rapid EPS Limited, David Brine, and Michael H. Whittaker* (the "State Court Action"), hereby remove the entire State Court Action to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division (the "District Court") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 1. On September 26, 2018, Plaintiffs Eric Plant and Eric Plant, LLC filed Plaintiffs' Original Petition (the "Petition") commencing the State Court Action against Defendants.
- 2. In the Petition, Plaintiffs allege the existence of actual damages for alleged unpaid commissions, wage and hour act violations, breach of contract, *quantum meruit*, conversion,

constructive fraud, unfair and deceptive trade practices, declaratory relief, and punitive damages. These allegations arise from a business relationship between the parties established by an October 2016 contract between Plaintiffs and Rapid EPS (the "Agreement"). The amount Plaintiffs are placing into controversy exceeds \$75,000. (Petition at ¶¶ 31-32, 49, 65, 72).

3. On October 1, 2018, the State Court Action was served on Defendants by a process server.

GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL: DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction because this action is between citizens of the United States and subjects of a foreign nation and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2).
- 5. Plaintiff Eric Plant alleges that he is a resident of the State of North Carolina. (Petition at ¶1).
- 6. Plaintiff Eric Plant, LLC alleges that it is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of North Carolina, which maintains its principal and registered offices in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina. (Petition at ¶2).
- 7. Defendant Rapid EPS Limited ("Rapid EPS") is a corporation organized and registered under the laws of the United Kingdom, which maintains its principal and registered office in Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom. (Petition at ¶3).
- 8. Defendant David Brine ("Mr. Brine") is a subject of the United Kingdom, and a resident of Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom. (Petition at ¶4).
- 9. Defendant Michael H. Whittaker ("Mr. Whittaker") is a subject of the United Kingdom, and a resident of Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom. (Petition at ¶5).

-

¹ If a federal district court could have original jurisdiction over an action, it may be removed. See U.S.C. § 1441(a).

- 10. Accordingly, the District Court has original jurisdiction over this matter because complete diversity exists between the parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(2) and 1332(c)(1).
- 11. This Notice is filed within thirty (30) days of service of the Complaint and summons in the State Court Action and is, therefore, timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16.1(d) & (e), the issues in this case have not joined because no final answer to the Complaint in the State Court Action has been filed and the time for doing so has not expired.

VENUE

12. Removal venue exists in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division because the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division of Mecklenburg County, North Carolina is within the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division. 28 U.S.C. §§ 113(c), 1446(a).

DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- 13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1446 and Local Civil Rule 73.1 the following documents are attached hereto as the <u>Appendix to Notice of Removal</u>: all process served on Defendant, all pleadings and documents filed in the State Court Action and served upon Defendants, all orders signed by the state judge in the State Court Action and served upon Defendants, and the Notice of Availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to Exercise Jurisdiction form.
- 14. A copy of Defendant's Notice of Filing Notice of Removal directed to the state court and Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Notice of Filing Notice of Removal will be promptly filed with the state court and served upon Plaintiff after filing of this Notice consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the State Court Action be removed to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL NUNNALLY & MARTIN LLP

By: /s/Craig M. Warner____

Craig M. Warner cwarner@bellnunnally.com North Carolina Bar No. 32983 2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 1900 Dallas, Texas 75201-2720 Telephone: (214) 740-1400

Telecopy: (214) 740-5743

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS RAPID EPS LIMITED, DAVID BRINE, & MICHAEL H. WHITTAKER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served by delivering the same to the person listed below in the manner and on the date indicated.

Harrison A. Lord

HAL@askLEGALNC.com

Kevin G. Sweat

KGS@askLEGALNC.com

Lord Law Firm, PLLC

1057 E. Morehead St., Suite 120

Charlotte, NC 28204

VIA ELECTRONIC CASE FILING (ECF), E-MAIL, and CMRRR 9414 7266 9904 2130 6051 98 / 9590 9266 9904 2130 6051 91

DATED this the 23rd day of October, 2018.

/s/ Craig M. Warner _____ Craig M. Warner